BEDFORDSHIRE 1893 — CAPTAIN: L.C.R. THRING — MATCHES: 10

TEAMS

No.	DAY	DATE	MONTH	VERSUS	VENUE	BM	LBR
	M,T	22,23	MAY	SURREY	THE OVAL	FS VSA	–
	M,T	17,18	JULY	BUCKS	ASCOT	FSA (U)SA	~~FSB~~ ~~FA~~ –
	M,T	24,25		HERTS	DUNSTABLE	–	FSB FA
	T,F	27,28		MCC	LORD'S	FS VSA	–
	M,T	31,1	AUGUST	BUCKS	BEDFORD-BGS	FSB (F)A	FSB FA
	F,S	4,5		MCC	BEDFORD-BGS	FSB SA	FS VSA
	M,T	7,8		SURREY	DUNSTABLE	FS VSA	FSB FA
	F,S	11,12		OXON	DUNSTABLE	–	FSB FA
	M,T	14,15		HERTS	WATFORD	FS –	FSB FA
	F,S	18,19		OXON	BICESTER	FSPFB (U)SA	–

PREVIEW	–	
AVERAGES	–	16 SEPT FULL MATCH LIST
REVIEW	–	
AGM	–	

28.4.04 DUNSTABLE ADVERTISER - NEWSPLAN - TRY 6 MONTHS
28.4.04 DUNSTABLE BOROUGH GAZETTE - UNFIT FOR USE
28.4.04 BEDFORDSHIRE MERCURY - MF PREFER — NO BEDS AVE OR B TOWN TO END DEC
28.4.04 BEDFORDSHIRE STANDARD - NEWSPLAN - TRY 6 MONTHS
28.4.04 BEDFORDSHIRE TIMES - UNFIT FOR USE - CONSERVATION
28.4.04 BEDFORD AND COUNTY RECORD - CONSERVATION
28.4.04 LEIGHTON BUZZARD OBSERVER - CONSERVATION
28.4.04 LEIGHTON BUZZARD REPORTER - 1 VOL - UNFIT TO COPY — NO LB TOWN CC AVE TO END DEC
28.4.04 BIGGLESWADE CHRONICLE - CONSERVATION
28.4.04 LUTON NEWS - CONSERVATION
28.4.04 LUTON REPORTER - CONSERVATION
28.4.04 LUTON TIMES - CONSERVATION

Beds Town CC AGM - BM 6 May p 5 Elected Capt W F Brown, V Capt N. Brown Appeal need for new pavilion.
Sandy CC Past Ave BM 14 Oct p 8
Beds CCC Ave LBR 16 Sept p 8.

BEDFORDSHIRE SCHOOL TEAMS 1893

TEAMS	BEDFORD GS	BEDFORD MS	DUNSTABLE GS	BEDFORD COUNTY
	C.A. HARRIS CAPT *	H C POTTER *		
	H.M. BEASLEY	A.J. TURNER *		
	W.R. PEARCE	B.C.N. KNIGHT		
	C.L. BEASLEY	W.C.D. TURNER		
	H.B. WARNER	A. FRANCIS		
	L.H. SWANN	C.G. RISDALE		
	P.G. JACOB	C.A. PICKWOAD		
	A.F. POLLARD	H.W. HODGSON		
	E.G. SEXTON	C.A.C. ARGLES		
	C.R. FFORDE	A. FOULKES		
	F.R. ANLEY	C.H. TURNBULL		
	E.C. HARRIS	G.W.R. STEDMAN		
	A.H. HARRISON			
	L. FRASER			
		SOURCE: WISDEN AVE		

BEDFORDSHIRE APPEARANCE CHART 1893

TEAMS	SURREY II	BUCKS	HERTS	MCC	BUCKS	MCC	SURREY II	OXON	HERTS	OXON		
NAME	A	A	H	A	H	H	H	H	A	A	TOTAL	
H.W. DICKSON	✓	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	
L.C.R. THRING	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	10	
R.F.A. ORR	✓	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	
BROWN, T.A.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	10	
C.G. POPE	✓	–	–	–	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	–	6	SANDY
CAPT C.E.L. ORMAN	✓	✓	✓	✓	–	–	–	–	✓	–	5	
G.H. SMALL	✓	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	
V. DEAN	✓	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	
R RYDER	✓	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	9	
H.W. OCLEE	✓	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	
W.F. BROWN	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	10	BEDFORD TOWN
C.A. HARRIS	–	✓	✓	–	✓	–	–	–	–	–	3	BEDFORD GS
J.J.H. ORMAN	–	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	9	
GOFF	–	✓	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	
L.G. BROWN	–	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	9	BEDFORD TOWN
MAJ W.F. HIGGINS	–	✓	✓	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	
E.G. SWORDER	–	✓	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	LUTON TOWN
BROWN, T.C.	–	–	–	✓	–	–	–		–	–	1	
J.D. HANKSLEY	–	–	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	–	–	–	5	
J. HEALING	–	–	✓	✓	–	–	–	✓	✓	–	4	
A.W. PLATT	–	–	–	✓	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	
H.C. POTTER	–	–	–	✓	–	✓	–	–	–	–	2	BMS
H.P. REYNOLDS	–	–	–	–	✓	–	–	–	–	–	1	
KIDMAN	–	–	–	–	✓	–	–	–	–	–	1	
ROGERS	–	–	–	–	–	✓	–	–	✓	✓	3	
J.E. WEISS	–	–	–	–	–	✓	–	–	–	–	1	BEDFORD TOWN
E. GILDER	–	–	–	–	–	–	✓	–	–	–	1	LUTON TOWN
E.Y. ORLEBAR	–	–	–	–	–	–	✓	✓	–	–	2	COPLE
J. SANGER	–	–	–	–	–	–	✓	✓	–	–	2	
~~A.J. TURNER~~ HUCKLESBY	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	✓	–	–	1	
~~R.C. WILLIAMS~~ F.W. POTTER	–	–	–	–	–	–	–		✓	✓	2	
~~F.W. POTTER~~ A J TURNER	–	–	–	–	–	–	–		–	✓	1	
R.C. WILLIAMS	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	✓	1	
BROWN, C	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	✓	1	
TOTAL											110	

BUCKINGHAMSHIRE 1893 — CAPTAIN: — MATCHES: 5

TEAMS	No	DAY	DATE	MONTH	VERSUS	VENUE	SBFB	BA	BH
	M	T	17, 18	JULY	BEDS	ASCOTT	FSB ✓ FA	FSB SA	FSB VFA ✓
	M	T	31, 1	AUG	BEDS	BEDFORD	FSB ✓ VFA	FSB SA	FSB ✓ VFA
	E	T,F	10, 11		N'HANTS	NORTHAMPTON - CG	FS ✓ A	FSB ✓ A	FSB ✓ CD A
	M	T	28, 29		N'HANTS	H. WYCOMBE	FSB ✓ VFA	FSB ✓ FA	FSB ✓ FA
	W	T	30, 31		MCC	LORD'S	FSB ✓ FA	FSB SA	FSB ✓ FA

PREVIEW			
AVERAGES	8 SEPT ✓	9 SEPT	9 SEPT
REVIEW	8 SEPT	V SHORT 9 SEPT	V SHORT 9 SEPT
AGM			

28.4.04 SOUTH BUCKS FREE PRESS - 1 VOL
28.4.04 SOUTH BUCKS STANDARD - CONSERVATION
28.4.04 BUCKS ADVERTISER - MF (1 REEL)
28.4.04 BUCKS HERALD - MF (1 REEL)
28.4.04 SLOUGH OBSERVER - CONSERVATION

Marlow CC Ann SBFP 8 Sept, p 8
Wycombe RGS Ann SBFB 15 Sept, p 6
Burnham CC Ann SBFP 22 Sept, p 3 - A Woodley
High Wycombe CC Ann SBFP 27 Oct, p 2. - F. Langley, L W Reynolds, A G T Barnes, S Bird, H Page, R. James
Flackwell Heath CC Ann SBFP 6 Oct, p 3
Aylesbury C & E Temperance CC Ann BH 23 Sept, p 7 F. Blackman 13, P. Northington 13, H Jones 15
Beds CCC, Hist CCC Ann BH 23 Dec p 2.

BUCKS TEAMS

SOUTH BUCKS FREE PRESS: FRIDAY 8 SEPTEMBER 1893 - 1.

BUCKS COUNTY CRICKET CLUB.

RESULTS AND AVERAGES, 1893.

Season 1893 has been a most successful time for the Bucks County Cricket Club. It will be remembered that in the first season of the club (1891) two matches with Oxfordshire were lost, and the two with Beds drawn. Last year, Bucks defeated Beds and Wilts, lost to Wilts and the M.C.C. and Ground, and drew with Beds. This year's record is a vast improvement on previous efforts. The Wilts club having dissolved, matches with Northamptonshire were arranged instead. The four county matches—two each with Beds and Northants—were all won. The match against the M.C.C. and Ground at Lord's was lost by three wickets, although the county team deserve congratulations on the splendid up-hill fight they made after following on 246 runs to the bad. A trial match against Fifteen of Aylesbury and District was also won, but this does not count in the averages. The matches were remarkable for exciting finishes. In the Trial match at Aylesbury the County won in the last over of the match by 4 runs; at Bedford, the match was won three minutes before "time"; at Northampton, Nash joined Mr. Cobb with 16 runs still wanted, and only Mr. Ward to go in; and at High Wycombe, Nash, the last man, went in with 16 runs still wanted. Next year, if funds permit, the programme will probably be enlarged by the addition of home-and-home matches with another county. Complete summary of results:—

Date and Place.		1st Inns.	2nd Inns.	Total
May 8	Bucks	35	99	134
Aylesbury	XV. of Aylesbury.....	84	43	130
Won by four runs.				
July 17	Bucks	58	25*	83
Ascott	Bedfordshire	45	36	81
Won by eight wickets. *Two wickets down.				
July 31 & Aug. 1	Bucks	174	158	332
Bedford	Bedfordshire	183	113	296
Won by 36 runs.				
August 9 & 10 ...	Bucks	89	200*	289
Northampton ...	Northamptonshire ...	81	204	285
Won by three wickets. *Seven wickets down.				
August 28 & 29...	Bucks	103	110*	213
High Wycombe..	Northamptonshire ...	92	120	212
Won by one wicket. *Nine wickets down.				
August 30 & 31...	Bucks	86	357	443
Lord's	M.C.C. & Ground.....	332	112*	444
Lost by three wickets. *Seven wickets down.				

In the batting averages Saunders is easily first, thanks to his fine score of 150 not out against the M.C.C., at Lord's in the second innings. He also has the highest aggregate. Austin is well up, and Nash stands third, thanks to several not out innings. The latter deserves credit for his plucky batting on more than one occasion at critical periods, and his freely-hit 54 at Lord's was probably the first time that he has earned talent money with the bat. Mr. Higgins batted consistently, as his average of 17, with highest score 31, will show. Nash and Wright bore the brunt of the attack. Taking into consideration the fact that the bowlers only had a sticky wicket in one match, Nash's figures—51 wickets at a cost of just over 10 runs apiece—are remarkably good. The only players that took part in every match were Mr. Cobb and Nash. The former kept wicket throughout the season in splendid style, and altogether sent back eleven batsmen—seven caught and four stumped.

BATTING AVERAGES.

	No. of Inns.	Times not out.	Total runs.	Highest score.	Average.
Saunders	9	1	209	150*	38·28
Austin	7	0	136	43	19·42
Nash	9	4	96	54	19·20
P. J. de Paravicini ...	4	0	71	62	17·75
F. T. Higgins.....	8	0	137	31	17·12
Langley	8	1	98	37	14
C. E. Cobb	10	2	103	36	12·87
W. H. Lunnon	8	3	63	15*	12·60
C. W. Parry	4	0	49	24	12·25
Wright.....	7	0	85	28	12·14
D. F. Gillman	6	1	48	19*	9·60
Barber	7	1	32	12*	5·33
G. R. Ward.....	8	0	6	3	2

*Signifies not out.

The following only batted in one match:—H. E. Bull (4), B. E. Templeman (2), W. J. Gough (2), Col.-Sergt. Benning (10 and 3), A. A. Somerville (2 and 6), L. W. Reynolds (0 and 7), and W. E. Gilliatt (8 and 19).

BOWLING AVERAGES.

	Overs.	Maidens.	Runs.	Wickets.	Avge.
Nash	260·3	88	520	51	10·19
Wright.....	167·4	54	325	24	13·54
Austin	65	23	151	9	16·77
Barber	22	10	41	2	20·50
Saunders	5	0	26	0	—

The following only bowled in one match:—C. W. Parry (37·1—6—117—3) and W. H. Lunnon (11—2—36—2).

CAMBRIDGESHIRE 1893 CAPTAIN: MATCHES: 6

TEAMS	No	DAY	DATE	MONTH	VERSUS	VENUE	CWN
		T,F	6,7	JULY	MCC	CAMBRIDGE	PES PA ✓
		W,T	12,13		OXON	THAME	—
		T,F	27,28		NORFOLK	CAMBRIDGE	FS SA ✓
		M,T	7,8	AUG	NORFOLK	NORWICH	FS PB ✓ FA ✓
		W,T	16,17		OXON	CAMBRIDGE	FS VFA ✓
		F,S	1,2	SEPT	MCC	LORD'S	FS BY FA ✓
						PREVIEW	
						AVERAGES	PART SOC ✓
						REVIEW	PART SOC ✓
						AGM	

19.5.04 CAMBRIDGE CHRONICLE: UNFIT FOR USE - CONSERVATION
26.5 CAMBRIDGE EXPRESS: NOT ON SHELF - NEWSPAN
26.5.04 CAMBRIDGE INDEPENDENT PRESS - NOT ON SHELF: NEWSPAN
26.5.04 CAMBRIDGESHIRE WEEKLY NEWS - MF
19.5.04 ELY WEEKLY GUARDIAN: UNFIT FOR USE - CONSERVATION

CAMBS TEAMS

CAMBRIDGESHIRE WEEKLY NEWS: FRIDAY 5 OCTOBER 1893 - 1.

LOCAL CRICKET.

REVIEW OF THE 1893 SEASON.

With the close of September the local cricket season ended; a season which may accurately be termed the most successful on record. From the very commencement we have had a series of splendid matches, such as was predicted on the strength of a list of matches published by the *Cambridge Daily News* in May. The intensity of public interest in the game (so marked last year), has been well proved by the large throngs that gathered daily on Parker's Piece to see any representative match, and many and loud have been the utterances of the clubs against the crowded state of the Piece. Early in the season the prolonged drought threatened to spoil the Piece for play. However, excepting one or two days during the extreme heat, players invariably declared the ground to be in fine condition. Nothing specially novel has occurred during the season, excepting the laudable attempt of the Anchor C.C. to establish a cricket week. This from a cricketer's point of view was most successfully brought about in the week which commenced June 26th and lasted till July 1st, and a great deal of interesting play was witnessed, but, unfortunately, the attendance was very limited, and it is reported that the venture was attended with a considerable financial loss. It is to be hoped that the Anchor C.C. will not be deterred from giving a cricket week another trial in '94.

The only regrettable feature of the year will be found in the dispute which arose over the Cambs. Cricket Association Cup, when Chesterton District C.C. protested against the playing of O'Connor by the Liberal C.C. in their cup-tie. The matter was thoroughly threshed out, but unfortunately for the association, nobody was satisfied, and the consequence was that the semi-final and final matches were robbed of all their interest. One or two of the old clubs have ceased to exist, the most noteworthy being Chesterton C.C., which Mr. C. C. Buck worked so hard for. On the other hand, several new or revived clubs, such as Chesterton District, the Station, Lilley's, &c., have done very well in the field.

Turning to individuals the name of K. S. Ranjitsinhji first occurs. The connection of the Indian with three of the local clubs—the Liberal, Anchor, and Cassandra is that of a playing member, and he is certainly regarded as one of the finest cricketers who has ever honoured these by becoming a member. There are three players who this season have gone a long way towards justifying the high opinion formed of their play—E. Briggs, W. Burrell, and A. Whibley. The first named may be described as a somewhat erratic player, but it cannot be denied that he is a good all-round man, able, when he is in earnest, to play dashing cricket. The same may also be said of Burrell and Whibley. Burrell has had considerable ill-luck, yet his scores are highly satisfactory; whilst Whibley, if he would only make up his mind to play sound cricket, is head and shoulders above average cricketers.

Alluding next to

INDIVIDUAL SCORES,

it is seen that the County player, F. J. Roberson, heads the list with a splendidly played 190. Never before had Roberson played so well, and while opinions differ very much as to his powers, it must be admitted that, from a spectator's point of view, he is a dashing and attractive player. The Camden player, A. J. Rich, comes next with the fine score of 155 not out, then follow Ranjitsinhji, A. J. Davidson, F. Luff, and W. Burrell. H. S. French has ag in maintained the reputation of the French family for good cricket, whilst J. Stearn may be credited with a similar accomplishment. The bowling works out well, and its improvement is remarkable. S. J. Haddow takes premier position, with the low average of 4·1, and he is closely followed by C. Riddle 4·25 and H. Gray 5·31. These are fine achievements in a season of hard and true wickets.

	Played.	Won.	Lost.	Drawn.
Camden	8	4	2	2
Old Higher Grade	18	9	3	6
Victoria	9	4	2	3
Cassandra	8	7	1	0
County Club	6	1	2	3
Sawston	29	15	5	8
Higher Grade	12	6	6	0

The list of averages is confined to batting in not less than four matches and in bowling to 30 overs.

COUNTY CLUB.

BATTING AVERAGES.

	No. of innings.	Total runs.	Times not out.	Most in inns.	Average per inns.
F Roberson	8	343	0	190	42·14
K S Ranjitsinhji	10	277	1	81	30·77
E Briggs	8	159	0	52	19·85
H S French	6	105	0	46	17·50
C E Ashfield	8	121	0	45	15·13
Bryan (W)	11	114	3	24	14·25
Hayward (D)	12	147	0	46	12·25
Coulson (H)	12	115	2	42*	11·50
H T Buddington	8	75	1	27	11·48
H Burgess	4	43	0	25	10·75
F T Church	4	16	0	7	4·00
O'Connor (J)	3	9	3	3*	1·30

* Denotes not out.

BOWLING AVERAGES.

	Overs.	Maidens.	Runs.	Wkts.	Average.
Hayward (D)	231·2	71	431	38	11·34
Bryan (W)	254·2	81	467	33	14·59
Coulson (H)	95·1	25	225	13	17·30
O'Connor (J)	55·3	14	146	6	24·33

HERTFORDSHIRE 1893 — CAPTAIN — MATCHES: 9

TEAMS	No	DAY	DATE	MONTH	VERSUS	VENUE	HS	WHP	HA	WO
		M T	17 18	JULY	SURREY II	THE OVAL	–	–	FSB (S)A	FSB A –
		M T	24, 25		BEDS	DUNSTABLE GS	FSB VSA	–	FSB A	FS A
		F, S	28, 29		LINCS	LINCOLN	FSB SA	–	–	–
		W, T	9, 10	AUGUST	MCC	LORD'S	FSB × (S)A	–	FS SA	FSB A
		F, S	11, 12		NORFOLK	NORWICH	FSB A	–	FSB SA	FSB, S
		M T	14, 15		BEDS	WATFORD	FA FA	FSB FA	FSB A	FSB SA
		W, T	16, 17		LINCS	WATFORD	FS FA	FSB A	FS VSA	FSB (S)A
		F, S	18, 19		MCC	WATFORD	FS FA	FSB FA	FSB VSA	FSB A
		M T	21, 22		NORFOLK	B. STORTFORD	FS VSA	FS –	FS SA	FS (S)A
						PREVIEW				
						AVERAGES	PARTIAL 22 SEPT	–	FULL 23 SEPT	–
						REVIEW	–	–	–	–
						AGM				

26.5.05 ——— HERTS LEADER – FIRST RECEIVED 1894
26.5.05 JUNE – DEC WATFORD OBSERVER – MF 1 REEL
26.5.05 ——— WATFORD TIMES – UNFIT TO USE: CONSERVATION
26.5.04 JUNE – DEC WEST HERTS POST – 1 VOL: UNFIT TO COPY
26.5.04 JUNE – DEC HERTFORDSHIRE STANDARD – 1 VOL: UNFIT TO COPY
26.5.05 JUNE – DEC HERTS ADVERTISER MF 1 REEL
26.5.04 ——— HERTS AND ESSEX OBSERVER – UNFIT TO USE: CONSERVATION
26.5.04 ——— EAST HERTS AND WEST ESSEX NEWS – UNFIT TO USE: CONSERV
23 6.04 ——— HERTFORDSHIRE EXPRESS – UNFIT FOR USE: CONSERVATION

Wat Hed CC Ass HS 15 Sept p 6 – Batting only
St Martins CC Ass HS 22 Sept p 6
Heth CC Ass HS 22 Sept p 7 – Partial.
Kings Langley CC Ass WHP 15 Sept p 3
Apsley CC Ass HA 30 Sept p 2
✓ Luton Town Ass HA 13 Sept 9

HERTS TEAMS

HERTS ADVERTISER: SATURDAY 23 SEPTEMBER 1893 - ↑

HERTS COUNTY CRICKET AVERAGES.

Below will be found some interesting statistics showing the performances with bat and ball of those who took part in the county matches during the past season. The Gentlemen played eight matches, of which they won two, lost five, and one was drawn—not so good a record as could have been wished, but this result is owing in a large measure to the fact that the composition of the team was not the same in any two matches, and numerous changes always tend to weaken a combination. No less than forty-eight players took part in the Gentlemen's matches, the object of the hon. secretary evidently being to give as many as possible a game, but this can be carried too far, as is shown by the record this year. H. F. Ward, a most promising bat, is at the head of those who have completed four or more innings, with an average of 28 2, W. V. Jephson and H. B. Debenham being second, each with an average of 23, but the latter is largely helped by two not-out innings. Of the bowling, the largest number of wickets has been taken by C. Pigg, whose average is 10 9, whilst I. A. Fish, who secured 12 wickets, has an average of 8·7.

Of the full County matches, three of the nine played were won, three lost, and three drawn. The batting averages are, on the whole, very good indeed. The Rev. E. D. Shaw is first with an average of 33·4 for eleven innings, and Titchmarsh, whose improved form has been most gratifying, is second with 29·5 for 17 innings. W. H. Nicholls has scored consistently, being third with eight innings and an average of 21·4, and next in order of merit come H. F. Ward and C. Pigg, with 18 and 17·1 respectively. In bowling Jones is really first, but Titchmarsh has had the brunt of the work, and has been well supported by the Rev. E. D. Shaw and W. H. Nicholls. It is a very encouraging fact that those who have done well at batting have also proved themselves destructive with the ball. The following are the details:—

GENTLEMEN'S MATCHES.

Matches played 8, won 2, lost 5, drawn 1.

BATTING AVERAGES.

	No. of Innings.	Runs.	Most in Innings.	Times not out.	Avg.
H. F. Ward....	4	114	76	0	28·2
W. V. Jephson	4	92	38	0	23
H. B. Debenham	4	46	22*	2	23
H. J. Sharpe ..	6	90	28*	1	18·4
C. Pigg.....	7	101	29	1	16·6
F. B. Debenham	4	69	33	0	14·3
H. E. Horner ..	6	83	31	0	13·5
Rev. A. E. Lofts	5	58	25	0	11·3
A. Butcher	7	68	24	1	11·2
W. H. King ..	4	27	19*	1	9
C. E. Hambro..	4	32	20	0	8

* Signifies not out.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

	Overs.	Mdns.	Runs.	Wkts.	Avg.
J. Hammond	16·3	5	29	7	4·1
J. A. Fish.....	47	13	103	12	8·7
O. C. Bevan.....	31·4	8	72	7	10·2
C. Pigg	67	7	179	17	10·9
C. E. Hambro	65	14	170	12	14·2
H. B. Debenham ..	34	11	78	5	15·3
H. Pigg.....	23 2	3	81	5	16·1
H. F. Ward.....	57·2	16	149	8	18·5

FULL COUNTY MATCHES.

Played 9, won 3, lost 3, drawn 3.

BATTING AVERAGES.

	No. of Innings.	Runs.	Most in Innings.	Times not out.	Avg.
Rev. E. D. Shaw	11	301	123*	2	33·4
Titchmarsh	17	411	97	3	29·5
W. H. Nicholls..	8	151	28	1	21·4
H. F. Ward	10	162	42	1	18
C. Pigg	15	229	90	2	17·1
Rev. F. W. Poland	7	99	39	1	16·3
H. Pigg	15	234	75	0	15·9
Hearn	13	183	39	0	14·1
E. H. Wales	5	64	34	0	12·4
Jones	10	117	55	0	11·7
G. E. B. Pritchett	9	49	10	4	9·4
J. O. Anderson..	11	93	31	0	8·5
F. Field	4	25	11	1	8·1
A. Butcher	5	20	8	0	4
Skillman.....	4	7	5	1	2·1

* Signifies not out.

The above played in three matches. Mr. E. L. Swift played in two matches, scoring 237, average 59·1 for four innings. The following played in one match only, viz., Hon. and Rev. E. Lyttelton, Rev. H. Sturt, C. R. Humbert, J. Woods, O. C. Bevan, S. E. Sharman, H. J. Sharpe, Westell, and White.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

	Overs.	Mdns.	Runs.	Wkts.	Avg.
Jones	68·3	30	132	10	13.2
Titchmarsh.....	421·2	167	781	51	15·16
Rev. E. D. Shaw	139	42	317	20	15·17
W. H. Nicholls..	135 2	31	314	19	16·10
C. Pigg	73	15	212	9	23·4
H. F. Ward	86·2	26	201	8	25·1
H. Pigg	78·4	17	225	4	56·1
F. Field	29	10	61	1	61

Titchmarsh bowled one wide and two no-balls, and W. H. Nicholls bowled one wide and three no-balls.

The following bowled in one match only:

	Overs.	Mdns.	Runs.	Wkts.	Avg.
Rev. H. Sturt	26	12	68	0	
O. C. Bevan..	46	17	89	5	17·4
J. Woods	16	2	65	3	21·2
S. E. Sharman	27·2	7	63	12	5·3

Bevan bowled five wides.

LANCASHIRE SECOND ELEVEN

1893

BATTING AVERAGES

	M.	I.	N.O.	Runs	H.S.	Avge.	100	50	Ct.	St.
A.E.Cadman	2	3	1	162	125	81.00	1	0	0	0
C.H.Benton	5	8	2	292	104*	48.66	1	1	4	0
J.A.MacLaren	4	8	0	190	66	23.75	0	1	1	0
R.Smith	3	6	0	137	55	22.83	0	1	1	0
Heaton	2	4	0	91	36*	22.75	0	0	1	0
E.B.Rowley jun.	6	11	1	206	38	20.60	0	0	2	0
W.H.Houldsworth	5	9	0	142	63	15.77	0	1	0	0
Lord	4	7	3	56	35	14.00	0	0	1	0
C.Smith	2	4	0	46	27	11.50	0	0	3	3
J.Ellis	4	7	1	64	20	10.66	0	0	2	0
J.A.Derbyshire	2	4	0	42	32	10.50	0	0	5	0
J.Proctor	2	3	2	8	6*	8.00	0	0	2	0
G.Yates	2	3	0	23	15	7.66	0	0	3	0
W.H.Pennington	3	6	1	36	12*	7.20	0	0	2	0
Moss	2	3	0	14	8	4.66	0	0	0	0
J.Loud	3	4	1	3	2	1.00	0	0	3	2

Played in one match : -

J.Allen 6, 30; W.Allen 9, 9 (1ct); M.Y.Barlow 11, 0; J.Briggs 10 (1ct); G.Carter 1 (1ct); S.M.Crosfield 40 (1ct); T.R.Hubback 0, 0 (1ct); Knutton 1, 1 (1ct); Langham 5, 18; A.Mold 23 (1ct); W.Mortimer 30 (2ct); R.H.Moss 2; W.Pilling 15; T.A.Stubbs 21, 0; F.Ward 55 (2ct).

BOWLING AVERAGES

	O.	M.	Runs	W.	Avge.	5wi.	10wm.	B.B.
F.Ward	5	3	3	3	1.00	0	0	3- 3
A.Mold	28.2	11	42	8	5.25	1	0	6-33
J.Proctor	56.2	11	125	14	8.92	1	0	5-31
J.Briggs	34.3	9	70	7	10.00	0	0	4-44
W.H.Pennington	107.4	43	201	16	12.56	1	0	5-19
Heaton	4	0	17	1	17.00	0	0	1-17
W.Allen	16	2	54	3	18.00	0	0	2-35
R.Smith	52	9	141	6	23.50	0	0	2-18
Moss	52	14	144	6	24.50	0	0	4-45
Lord	102.4	30	225	9	25.00	1	0	5-95
J.Ellis	182	60	389	14	27.78	0	0	4-76
R.H.Moss	30	4	99	3	33.00	0	0	2-31

Also bowled : -

J.Allen	21 - 3 - 61 - 0;	A.E.Cadman	4 - 1 - 11 - 0;
W.H.Houldsworth	7 - 0 - 42 - 0;	T.R.Hubback	3 - 0 - 11 - 0;
Knutton	12 - 1 - 43 - 0;	E.B.Rowley jun.	3 - 0 - 12 - 0.

Don Ambrose - LSB

LINCOLNSHIRE 1893 — CAPTAIN: — MATCHES 7

TEAMS	No	DAY	DATE	MONTH	VERSUS	VENUE	LC	LG	LE
		W,T	21,22	JUNE	HUNTS	SLEAFORD	SS SA	—	PFS PA 1
		W,T	28,29		NORFOLK	SPALDING	—	FS SA	FS (S) H ✓
		F,S	28,29	JULY	HERTS	LINCOLN	FS —	—	FS PA ✓
		M,T	31,1	AUG	MCC	LORD'S	FS VSA	FS SA	FS PSA 2
		W,T	9,10		NORFOLK	NORWICH	—	FS SA	FS A ✓
		M,T	14,15		HUNTS	HUNTINGDON	—	FS SA	FS SA ✓
		W,T	16,17		HERTS	WATFORD	—	FS SA	FS SA ✓
						PREVIEW			
						REVIEW			
						AVERAGES		30 Sept ✓	
						AGM			

19.5.04 — SLEAFORD GAZETTE - UNFIT FOR USE: CONSERVATION
19.5.04 — SPALDING GUARDIAN - UNFIT FOR USE: CONSERVATION
19.5.04 — LINCOLNSHIRE FREE PRESS - UNFIT FOR USE: CONSERVATION
19.5.04 JUNE - SEPT LINCOLNSHIRE CHRONICLE - 1 VOL
19.5.04 JUNE - SEPT LINCOLN GAZETTE - 1 VOL
19.5.04 JUNE - AUG LINCOLNSHIRE ECHO 1 VOL DELICATE CONDITION
19.5.04 — GRANTHAM JOURNAL - UNFIT FOR USE: CONSERVATION

✓ Lincoln Leads Av LG 30 Sept, p2 col 5.

LINCS TEAMS

LINCOLN GAZETTE: SATURDAY 30 SEPTEMBER 1893 - 1

LINCOLNSHIRE COUNTY C.C., 1893.

Matches played 6, won 4, lost 2.

Batting Averages.

	inns.	times not out.	runs.	highest score.	aver.
F. L. Hinde	3	0	170	153	56·6
W. Hamblin	3	2	35	19	35
W. Kitwood	4	1	96	62	32
Geeson	9	0	279	66	31
G. F. Wells-Colo	6	0	164	88	27·3
H. Melbourne	4	0	67	34	16·7
C. H. Lenton	6	1	81	55	16·2
W. Chatterton	4	0	63	23	15·7
H. R. Ellison	3	0	31	21	10·3
A. K. Brook	5	0	47	20	9·4
Brown	9	3	48	19	7·9
J. P. Cheales	5	0	37	19	7·4
H. Peacock	5	0	32	13	6·4
Bland	8	2	35	27	5·8
Broadbent	9	1	44	10	5·5
C. Doncaster	5	0	26	13	5·2

The following played two innings each:—Harrison, 0, 18; Barritt, 2, 13; Fortescue, 2, 9; Lanphier, 0, 13 Cheales, 1, 13. The following played one innings each:—Hickingbottom, 10; Stuart, 1.

Bowling Averages.

	overs.	mdns.	runs.	wkts.	aver.
Bland	67	22	137	16	8·5
Geeson	301·2	121	448	52	8·6
Broadbent	235·2	64	470	42	11·1

The following also bowled:—Lenton, 2, 0, 0, 10; Lanphier, 2, 0, 0, 15; Wells-Cole, 2, 0, 0, 6.

Extra Match—Lincolnshire v. M.C.C.—Scores: M.C.C., 266, 173; Lincolnshire, 217, 129 (for 2 wickets). Geeson, 85, 45 (not out); Somnes, 13, 35; Hinde, 25, 26 (*not out*), *chief scorers*. Geeson, 10 for 182; Bland, 5 for 93; Broadbent, 2 for 83; Hamblin, 2 for 24, taking the wickets.

NORFOLK 1893 CAPTAIN: MATCHES: ~~37~~ 8

TEAMS	No.	DAY	DATE	MONTH	VERSUS	VENUE	EDP
	F	M,T	22,23	MAY	MCC	NORWICH	FSB VFA
	F	W,T	28,29	JUNE	LINCS	SPALDING	FSB VFA
	F	~~M~~,F	27,28	JULY	CAMBS	CAMBRIDGE	FSB A
	F	M,T	7,8	AUG	CAMBS	NORWICH	FSB VFA
	F	W,T	9,10		LINCS	NORWICH	FSB VFA
	F	F,S	11,12		HERTS	NORWICH	FSB VFA
	F	M,T	21,22		HERTS	B.STORTFORD	FSB A
	F	W,T	2,3		I.ZINGARI*	NORWICH	FSB VFA
						PREVIEW	
						AVERAGES	28 AUG
						REVIEW	MEDIUM 28 AUG
						AGM	

* Geoff Norfolk not County

23.06.04 APRIL - EASTERN DAILY PRESS - MF 4 REELS

NORFOLK TEAMS

EASTERN DAILY PRESS: MONDAY 28 AUGUST 1893 - 1.

OUR CRICKET COLUMN.

[By Our Cricket Editor.]

NOTICES.

Correspondents are particularly requested to write on one side of the paper only.

Printed forms for reporting matches may be obtained free of charge on application.

FIXTURES FOR THE WEEK.

NORFOLK COUNTY CLUB.

THIS DAY AND TUESDAY.

NORFOLK CLUB AND GROUND v. BILLINGFORD INCAPABLES, LAKENHAM.

INTER-CLUB MATCHES.

THIS DAY.

Fakenham v. Dereham, Fakenham.

WEDNESDAY.

Billingford Incapables v. Suffolk Borderers, Garboldisham (two days).

THURSDAY.

Norwich Union v. C.E.Y.M.S., Earlham Road.
C.E.Y.M.S. Second v. Victoria, Newmarket Road.
Thorpe Asylum v. Y.R.A., Thorpe.
Old Catton v. Gordon, Lakenham.
Wymondham v. Shore and Morley's XI., Wymondham (Nichols' Benefit).
Guild v. Coltishall, Lakenham.

FRIDAY.

Billingford Incapables v. Mr. Fountaine's XI. Narford.

SATURDAY.

C.E.Y.M.S. v. St. Mark's, Lakenham.
Guild v. Yarmouth Temple, Recreation Ground.
Wymondham v. Rose Lane, Wymondham.
Costessey v. Howlett & White's, Costessey.
H. Lacey's v. Thorpe Asylum, Thorpe.
King's Lynn v. Wormegay, Lynn.
Caley & Son's v. Kingsley, Newmarket Road.
Caley & Son's Second v. St. Philip's Institute, Newmarket Road.
Crocker v. Holt, Holt.
Kingsley Second v. Carrow Juniors, Lakenham.
South Yarmouth v. Mechanics, Recreation Ground.
Howlett & White's Second v. Higher Grade, Earlham Road.
Norwich Teachers v. Yarmouth, Recreation Ground.

Monday and Tuesday of the present week have been set apart for the last fixture on the programme authorised by the Norfolk County Club. It will also probably be the last match of any importance in the district this season, and if on that account alone there ought to be a lively muster at Lakenham. The cricket cannot be otherwise than excellent in quality with such men as Shore, Massingham, and Morley on the side of the Club and Ground; and Mr. Hudson has gathered around him this season a very powerful combination. The Billingford Incapables are undoubtedly stronger this year than they have been for several seasons, and I am therefore anticipating a real tough encounter.

The Club and Ground eleven will be composed of the following players:—

G. F. Blake	Morley
R. H. Johnson	E. A. Massingham
E. M. Jackson	E. H. Gurney
H. F. Langton	C. H. Walter
Bartram	and
Shore	Captain Bogle-Smith

Langton and Bogle-Smith, both officers of the 1st King's Dragoon Guards, have gained big reputations as cricketers, the former as a bat and the latter as a wicket-keeper of more than average merit, and their loings in this match will be watched with interest. Bartram of Old Walsingham has been bowling with great success throughout the season, and big things are expected of him. The remainder, with the exception of Johnson, who is, I believe, a visitor, are well known to a Lakenham audience.

A summons to attend and investigate in person the cricket of the Irish, and the knowledge that the trip will necessitate a break in these bi-weekly dissertations has induced me to turn to the average table sooner than usual. It is no glorious list of successes that meets the gaze after spending an hour or so with the scores. Three wins, 2 losses, and 2 drawn games is not, however, so very bad, but, and it is a very big but this time, the wins were perilously near being losses. A one wicket victory over the M.C.C., a difference of one run only between the scores in the Cambs. match, and a margin of 19 against Lincoln, these were all jolly matches to witness, but they were uncommonly close shaves. To my mind the best match of the season was that with Lincolnshire in the Norwich Week, when the home side won by 19 runs. After the many defeats experienced at the hands of Lincolnshire, that victory, narrow though it was, came as a gentle refresher. Below will be found a detailed list of the matches, followed by the batting and bowling averages, which latter contain nothing that might be calculated to startle anyone, seeing that with the exception of Raikes and Shore none of the players have in their various departments maintained what might be termed a fair level. Rye, Fryer, and F. Davies all played one good innings each, which helped materially to put them in the places in which they will be found. Raikes, on the other hand, scored double figures in all but one innings, and his average of 42 is exceptionally fine. Morley has done some useful all-round work, being second on the batting list with an average of 11 for thirteen completed innings, and, in addition, secured twenty-four wickets at a cost of 14·6 runs per wicket. His fielding too, has been a feature of the County cricket. His partner, Shore, is easily first in the bowling department, and with better luck in the last two matches, his figures would have been even better. Fifty-eight wickets for 11½ apiece is not bad work for a season like the last, and is proof that there is yet plenty of work left in the veteran Notts professional. Rye has not had a good season with the ball, and it was well that he occasionally came to the front with a sound and useful innings with the bat. For the rest, the figures must speak for themselves.

RESULTS OF MATCHES.

Won 3, lost 2, drawn 2; total, 7.

Date and place.	1st inns.	2nd inns.	Total.
May 22nd and 23rd, at Lakenham.			
Norfolk	206	109*	315
M.C.C. and Ground	95	218	313
Won by one wicket. * Nine wickets down.			
June 28th and 29th, at Spalding.			
Norfolk	63	36	99
Lincolnshire	141	185	326
Lost by 225 runs.			
July 27th and 28th, at Cambridge.			
Norfolk	264		264
Cambridgeshire	39	436*	475
Drawn. * Nine wickets down.			
August 7th and 8th, at Lakenham.			
Norfolk	74	88	162
Cambridgeshire	78	83	161
Won by one run.			
August 9th and 10th, at Lakenham.			
Norfolk	86	147	233
Lincolnshire	86	128	214
Won by 19 runs.			
August 11th and 12th, at Lakenham.			
Norfolk	254	63†	317
Hertfordshire	184	17*	201
Drawn. † Five wickets (innings declared closed). * One wicket down.			
August 21st and 22nd, at Bishop's Stortford.			
Norfolk	113	79	192
Hertfordshire	157	37*	194
Lost by eight wickets. * Two wickets down.			

BATTING AVERAGES.

	No. of runs.	Times not out.	Total runs.	Most in innings.	Aver.
G. B. Raikes	9	2	294	85	42·0
Rye	12	1	219	87	19·9
P. A. Fryer	9	0	152	94	16·8
F. Davies	11	0	183	92	16·6
E. Holley	4	2	28	18	14·0
E. A. Massingham	9	1	111	55†	13·8
Morley	13	0	146	32	11.2
Rev. W. F. G. Sandwith	4	0	37	19	9·2
Rev. A. C. Davies	10	1	81	36	9·0
L. Barratt	4	0	28	24	7·0
W. S. Gurney	6	0	29	21	4·8
G. F. Blake	10	1	40	9	4·4
Shore	12	4	32	11†	4·0
Rev. E. P. Mack	3	0	10	8	3·3
C. G. Smith	4	0	10	4	2·5

G. C. Drake (6-4), A. R. Buxton (12-0), H. Dowson (2-0†), V. R. Hoare (5-3), S. R. B. Cowles (0-1), R. H. Mornement (2-11), D. M. Bartlett (0-4†), and Dr. Hopkins (0-0), batted in two innings only; and E. G. Buxton (2), and Barnes (4) in a single innings.

† Signifies not out.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

	Overs.	Mdns.	Runs.	Wkts.	Aver.
Shore	341·3	94	663	58	11·5
Rev. A. C. Davies	22	6	49	4	12·2
Rev. E. P. Mack	39	10	63	5	13·6
Morley	200·2	63	351	24	14·6
G. B. Raikes	87	28	175	10	17·5
E. A. Massingham	7	2	20	1	20·0
Rye	94	27	211	8	26·3
C. G. Smith	24	8	75	2	37·5
E. Holley	6	2	16	0	0

V. R. Hoare (11-6-6-3), S. R. B. Cowles (7-2-5-0), E. G. Buxton (2-0-8-0), Barnes (11-3-37-1), F. Davies (5-0-17-0), and R. H. Mornement (5-2-12-0) bowled in a single innings only.

G. B. Raikes delivered seven no balls, and Morley two.

Thursday will be a red letter day for cricketers in the neigbourhood of Wymondham. A match has been arranged for that date between an eleven representative of the playing strength of the district and a team to be collected by Shore and Morley for the benefit of Nichols. Not to know Nichols is to be a stranger to the town in which he lives. For upwards of seven years he has had the control of the town ground, and much of the improvement that has taken place there has been due to his untiring exertions. Few men watch more keenly the varying fortunes of a Wymondham team in the cricket or football fields. His enthusiasm is not always tempered with discretion; but there is no mistaking the feeling which lies beneath. I trust his may be a good benefit. With such men as Shore and Morley in a team the cricket is sure to be good, and the next best thing that one would like to see is a fine day and the ground well lined with spectators.

D. M. Bartlett, who made his *debut* in Norfolk County matches at Bishop's Stortford last week, was a prominent member of the Haileybury College Elevens in seasons 1891 and 1892. "Lillywhite" writes of him as follows:—"A most useful and improving slow bowler, and can use his head; as a bat has a good style and some strong hits, but fails to get really long scores at present." His batting for Wymondham in the Junior Final created a favourable impression. He was in, it will be remembered, with Raikes whilst 55 runs were obtained, and, though his share of the number was small, his style pleased the pavilion critics immensely.

Writing of Haileybury reminds me that a short time ago I noticed the name of E. Partridge, this year's captain, in a local match. I am not sure, but I think it was Watton v. Swaffham, and the Haileyburian ran up a score of 40 odd against such trundlers as A. P. Sewell and Jack Hansell. The latter, by the way, was at Lakenham on the day of the Junior final, looking the picture of good health. He was evidently delighted with the success of Swaffham. There is no telling what proportions the Haileyburian's score might have assumed on this particular occasion, for when it stood at 43 there was not another Wattonite left to take charge of the opposite end. L. R. T. Frere, who pleased the Lakenham goers with a plucky display of wicket keeping last season, was an Old Haileyburian. By the way, what has become of this clever player this season?

Nichols, the Wymondham groundsman, who takes a benefit on Thursday, has great hopes of his son making a name for himself in the cricket world. The youngster has already been put through his paces by the Surrey Club, and his batting and bowling received favourable mention from the critics. He practices assiduously, and in a season or two will again be on offer. Who knows but that Surrey may be fostering another Lohmann! But why should Norfolk let the lad slip into the hands of another county? We are not so well off that we can afford to let out pros to another county. There were many who entertained the hope that the youngster would be given a place in the Wymondham eleven for the final tie, but Mr. Raikes and others came along and bagged all the places. Not even Pickard was included in the unsuccessful Wymondham team. Why, I cannot tell. Possibly he may have been holiday making, as he was hardly a likely player to be dropped. There were endless rumours of the fast bowler leaving the town and joining the ranks of the professional footers at Crewe.

Quite an enthusiastic party witnessed the departure of Lohmann, the Surrey pet, on Saturday, for South Africa. Among the other passengers the "Mexican" carried was a cricketer whose figure was at one time frequently seen on the Lakenham ground. I allude to G. F. Gerds, the quondam Carrow and Norfolk and Norwich player, and one time a member of the Hants County team. He was a hard hitting batsman, and a wicket-keeper of more than average ability.

The name of Maurice Read is almost as well known in the cricket world as that of the veteran "Doctor W.G.," and therefore few readers need be told of the benefit match recently accorded the Surreyite by the S.C.C.C. There are benefits and benefits, but the Surrey man is hardly likely to be the one to complain. Together with the subscriptions the profits accruing from the International match are not expected to fall short of £1200. A nice nest egg that. Read was recently interviewed by *English Sports*, on which occasion he told the following umpire story, which is a bit severe on Shrewsbury:—At a place called Orange, N.S.W., in a match against twenty-two, as is not altogether uncommon over there, prizes were offered on certain conditions. The trophy for us English fellows was a massive gold locket for the highest individual score. Well, Lohmann, who was out, was top scorer, having made about 30, and Shrewsbury, who had been batting a long time when I went in, had somewhere about 20. When I had knocked up close on this while Arthur was getting another 10, a ball was smartly returned to the wicket-keeper, and as I could plainly see I was run out I did not attempt to run to the wicket, but walked leisurely to the tent, knowing that I had received my quietus. While undoing my pads—and not feeling altogether sorry that I was out of the sun (it was scorching hot)—I was surprised to see Shrewsbury coming away from the wicket. "What's the matter?" I inquired. "Oh, that dunderheaded umpire says I'm out, and not you." "Nonsense, why the ball was not returned your end." "No, but he won't give way, so you had better go back again." After a little more arguing I did, and commenced laying about me pretty smartly. While the field were changing over later on the umpire came up to me and said quietly, "You thought you were out, did'nt you?" "Yes, I did," I answered, "and so I think now." "So you were, my boy, but we didn't want that fellow to get the prize. Why, he's been a-peckin' and a-dabbin' for two hours like some old sparrow, and looked like keeping on for a week—we want to see a bit of cricket, and yours is the sort for our 'apeuce." The result was that I got something like 70 runs and the locket, a very massive thing, which I gave to my sister on reaching home.

I am asked to announce that the general meeting of the C.E.Y.M.S. Football Club will be held at the Rooms next Friday, September 1st, at 8 p.m. All footballers are cordially invited.

Not long ago—only a day or two—I heard it generally stated there was to be a one day lawn tennis tournament on Lakenham early next month. Chadwick told me last week he had no definite information on the subject. There is no screw loose, surely?

NORTHAMPTONSHIRE 1893 CAPTAIN: MATCHES

TEAMS

No	DAY	DATE	MONTH	VERSUS	VENUE
	M,T	22,23	MAY	STAFFS	NORTHAMPTON
	M,T	5,6	JUNE	STAFFS	STOKE
	F,S	28,29	JULY	MCC	NORTHAMPTON
	T,F	10,11	AUGUST	BUCKS	NORTHAMPTON
	M,T	28,29		BUCKS	H.WYCOMBE
					PREVIEW
					AVERAGE
					REVIEW
					A.G.M.

NORTHAMPTON DAILY CHRONICE
NORTHAMPTON DAILY REPORTER
NORTHAMPTON HERALD
NORTHAMPTON MERCURY

OXFORDSHIRE 1893 — CAPTAIN: — MATCHES: 9

TEAMS	No	DAY	DATE	MONTH	VERSUS	VENUE	O.T	J.J	O.C	BH
								SPOILT		
		W,T	12,13	JULY	CAMBS O.C.S	THAME	FS FSB A	FS SB SA	—	—
		M,T	17,18		STAFFS	STOKE	FSB (S)A	FS (S)A	FSB A	—
		W,T	2,3	AUGUST	SHROPS	HENLEY	FS VSA FS A	FS VSA FS (S)A	FS VSA FSB A	—
		F,S	4,5		STAFFS	OXONFORD				—
		W,T	9,10		SHROPS	SHREWSBURY	—	—	FS — ✓	—
		F,S	11,12		BEDS	DUNSTABLE	FSB VSA	FS —	FSB VSA ✓	—
		M,T	14,15		MCC	LORD'S	FS A	FS A	FSB A ✓	—
		W,T	16,17		CAMBS	CAMBRIDGE	FSB A	FS A	FSB A	—
		F,S	18,19		BEDS	OXFORD	FSB (S)A	FS SA	FSPB SA ✓	—
						JL - BICESTER				
						PREVIEW				—
						AVERAGES	SHORT 2 SEPT	—	2 SEPT ✓	—
						REVIEW		—	MEDIUM 2 SEPT ✓	—
						AGM				—

2.6.04 JULY - OCT OXFORD TIMES - 1 VOL
2.6.04 JULY - OCT OXFORD CHRONICLE MF - 1 REEL
2.6.04 JULY - OCT JACKSON'S OXFORD JOURNAL MF 1 REEL
19.5.04 ——— THAME GAZETTE - UNFIT FOR USE
19.5.04 ——— BICESTER ADVERTISER - UNFIT FOR USE
2.6.04 JULY - NOV BICESTER HERALD 1 VOL UNFIT TO COPY: BICESTER AVE 24 NOV P7
2.6.04 ——— HENLEY STANDARD - UNFIT TO USE: CONSERVATION
2.6.04 ——— HENLEY ADVERTISER - UNFIT TO USE: CONSERVATION
2.6.04 ——— OXFORDSHIRE TELEGRAPH (BICESTER) - UNFIT TO USE: CONSERVATION

Bicester Ave BH 24 Nov, p 7 - E. Jakeman[6], P Schuttle-Smith, H Tubb[18], F H Grainger[4], Rev W H Draper[13], P Colley[20], C T Hoare[11]
Oxford City CC Ave OT 14 Od p 8 d12 OC 14 Od p 3

OXON TEAMS

OXFORD CHRONICLE: SATURDAY 2 SEPTEMBER 1893 - 1.

THE OXFORDSHIRE COUNTY CRICKET CLUB.

Compared with last season, the results of this year's County cricket are highly gratifying, albeit the record of matches won is but two; a similar number were lost; the drawn games numbering no less than five, the majority of which were decidedly in favour of Oxfordshire. The most gratifying feature of the cricket is that the runs have been obtained, not by the few, as was the case last year, but by the many, no less than ten batsmen having an average ranging from 16 to 31, the pride of place being held by Mr. T. B. Case, who was unfortunately incapacitated through illness from playing in the last three matches. His brother comes next with 29·5, a fine not out innings of 125 against Staffordshire contributing mainly to this result, and C. C. Bradford and H. Bassett are almost on the same mark with 25·3 and 25 respectively. Although the latter has played in twice as many innings, Messrs. Day and Moulder, who had not played before this year, have very creditable figures, and the two Rogers came on in a remarkable manner during the latter part of the season. Mr. Wood started well, but his failures at Lord's reduced his figures very considerably, and after a good performance against Salop, Mr. Marsh went clean off until the Beds match at Oxford, when he was responsible for a good not out innings of 25. Mr. Deane batted well on one or two occasions, especially at Lord's. Next season we are promised a considerable accession to the batting, and the one thing wanting most is a good slow bowler. Mr. Bassett is well in front in the bowling, although latterly he met with very little success. Rogers (A.), on the other hand, did poorly at the commencement, but wound up with three brilliant performances against the M.C.C. and Cambridge. The wicket-keeping was shared by Messrs. T. B. Case and G. W. Johnson, the latter of whom promises to prove a valuable acquisition, as at present he has not had a great amount of experience in good class cricket. The Captain kept wicket admirably on several occasions, and had his hands not gone Salop would hardly have made a draw of it at Shrewsbury. The fielding generally was very good, and altogether the supporters of the County Cricket Club have good cause to be satisfied with the season of 1893.

OXFORDSHIRE COUNTY CRICKET CLUB.

Results of matches—Played, 9; won, 2; lost, 2; drawn, 5.

Place.		1st inns.	2nd inns.	Total.
Thame.	Cambridgeshire	73	48	121
	Oxfordshire	308		308
	Won by 187 runs.			
Stoke.	Staffordshire	168	77	245
	Oxfordshire	139	193	332
	Won by 87 runs.			
Henley.	Shropshire	56	262	318
	Oxfordshire	221		221
	Drawn.			
Oxford.	Staffordshire	204	154a (5 wkts.)	358
	Oxfordshire	268	173b (3 wkts.)	441
	aFive wickets down. bInnings declared. Drawn.			
Shrewsbury.	Shropshire	61	223a	284
	Oxfordshire	82	264	346
	aSix wkts. down. Drawn.			
Dunstable.	Bedfordshire	299	17a	316
	Oxfordshire	216	96	312
	aNo wicket down. Beds won by ten wickets.			
Lord's.	M.C.C.	197	154	351
	Oxfordshire	126	165	291
	M.C.C. won by 60 runs.			
Cambridge.	Cambridgeshire	67	235a	302
	Oxfordshire	105	68b	173
	aSeven wickets down. Innings declared. bb wickets down.			
Oxford.	Bedfordshire	267	155a	422
	Oxfordshire	403		403
	a4 wkts. down. Drawn.			

BATTING AVERAGES

Batsman.	No. of inns.	Times not out.	No. of runs.	Most in an inns.	Aver.
T. B. Case	10	0	310	88	31
W. S. Case	15	2	382	125a	29·5
C. C. Bradford	7	0	178	75	25·3
H. Bassett	15	0	375	93	25
H. G. C. Day	5	1	98	45	24·2
T. H. K. Moulder	7	1	135	57	22·3
Rogers (P.)	14	4	188	53a	18·8
F. Deane	6	0	106	31	17·4
G. R. Wood	8	0	138	61	17·2
Rogers (A.)	14	1	219	74	16·11
J. F. Marsh	12	2	133	47	13·3
J. Goold	6	1	31	11	6·1
G. W. Johnson	6	2	17	6	4·1

aSignifies not out.

BOWLING AVERAGES.

Bowler.	Overs.	Mdns.	Runs.	Wkts.	Aver.
H. Bassett	409·3	156	750	59	12·42
Rogers (P.)	319·1	123	618	40	15·3
Rogers (A.)	274	89	585	33	17·24
W. S. Case	64	6	216	6	36
J. F. Marsh	36	4	119	6	19·5
J. Goold	27	3	81	3	27

OXON TEAMS

OXFORD TIMES: SATURDAY 2 SEPTEMBER 1893 - 1.

RESULTS OF OXFORDSHIRE COUNTY CRICKET CLUB.

Matches played, 9; won 2, lost 2, drawn 5.

At Thame, v Cambridgeshire. Cambridgeshire 73 and 48, Oxfordshire 308. Oxfordshire won by an innings and 187 runs.

At Stoke, v Staffordshire. Staffordshire 188 and 71, Oxfordshire 139 and 195. Oxfordshire won by 87 runs.

At Henley, v. Shropshire. Shropshire 56 and 282, Oxfordshire 221. Drawn.

At Oxford, v. Staffordshire. Staffordshire 204 and 154 for 5 wickets (innings declared), Oxfordshire 263 and 173 for 5 wickets Drawn.

At Shrewsbury, v. Shropshire. Shropshire 61 and 229 for 6 wickets, Oxfordshire 82 and 264. Drawn.

At Dunstable, v. Bedfordshire. Bedfordshire 299 and 17 for no wickets, Oxfordshire 216 and 95. Bedfordshire won by 10 wickets.

At Lords, v. M.C.C. M.C.C. 197 and 154, Oxfordshire 126 and 165. M.C.C. won by 60 runs.

At Cambridge, v. Cambridgeshire. Cambridgeshire 67 and 235 for 7 wickets (innings declared). Oxfordshire 105 and 68 for 6 wickets. Drawn.

At Oxford, v. Bedfordshire. Bedfordshire 257 and 155 for 4 wickets, Oxfordshire, 403 Drawn.

Batting Averages.

	No. of Inn.	Times not out.	No. of runs.	Most in an inn.	Averages
T. B. Case	10	0	310	88	31
W S. Case	15	2	339	1125*	29·5
C. C. Bradford	7	0	178	75	25·3
H Bassett	15	0	375	93	25
H G Day	5	1	98	45	24.2
T H K Moulder	7	1	136	57	22·2
Rogers (P)	14	4	138	53*	15·8
F Deane	6	0	105	31	17·4
G R Wood	8	0	138	61	17·2
Rogers (A)	14	1	219	74	16·11
J F Marsh	12	2	153	47	13·5
J Goold	6	1	34	11	6·1
G W Johnson	6	2	17	6	4·1

*Signifies not out. †v. Staffordshire at Oxfordshire.

Bowling Averages.

	Overs.	Maidens.	Runs.	Wkts.	Averages.
H Bassett	406·3	156	730	59	12·42
Rogers (P)	319·1	123	613	40	15·3
Rogers (A)	274	89	585	33	17·24
W G Case	64	5	216	6	36
J F Marsh	36	4	119	6	19·5
J Goold	27	3	81	3	27

The county averages have come to hand, and in many ways they are highly satisfactory. No less than 11 batsmen show figures above 13, while six are over a score. The brothers Case are at the top of the ladder in the order of seniority, the elder being credited with an average of 31, while the younger runs him closely with 29·5, and also has the double credit of accumulating the highest aggregate of 332 and scoring the only century of the season. H. G. C. Day takes fifth place, with 24.2, but he has only had five innings, and was really played as a substitute on each occasion. Bassett this year is fourth, with the second highest aggregate of 375 and the very creditable average of 25.

Coming to the bowling, though there is nothing at all remarkable, yet taking into consideration that it has been decidedly a batsman's year, and that the wickets the county have played on have been generally good, there is little to grumble at in the Oxfordshire bowling figures. H. Bassett's 59 for 12·42 a-piece is a fine performance, while Rogers (P) with 40 for 15·3 a-piece and Rogers (A) with 33 for 17·24 a-piece have both rendered invaluable services. Then comes the rub. What would the county do if it lost one or other of these bowlers? Nobody else has taken more than six wickets during the whole season, and there is not a single other man who can be called even a recognised change bowler for the county. It is certainly generally understood that A. C. M. Croome is qualifying for Oxfordshire, and if he is in anything like his old 'Varsity form he should prove a valuable acquisition to the bowling department, but still more good bowlers are sadly wanted and the executive would do well to keep a sharp eye on all local trundlers that show any promise.

SURREY CLUB AND GROUND.

Matches played, 34; won, 17; lost, 8 drawn, 9.

RESULTS OF MATCHES.

MATCHES WON.

v. Cane Hill Asylum. Surrey C. & G., 213; Cane Hill, 37 and 119.
v. Wimbledon. Surrey C. & G., 84 and 44 for 1 wicket; Wimbledon, 78 and 48.
v. Battersea. Surrey C. & G., 256; Battersea, 75 and 58 for 8 wickets.
v. Reigate Priory. Surrey C. & G., 294 for 2 wickets; Reigate Priory, 157.
v. XVIII. of Foss Challenge Cup Clubs. Surrey C. & G., 220; Foss Challenge Cup Clubs, 111 and 99 for 3 wickets.
v. Dulwich. Surrey C. & G., 319; Dulwich, 128.
v. Hersham Burwood. Surrey C. & G., 189; Hersham Burwood, 75 and 70 for 9 wickets.
v. XVIII. of Battersea Park Cricket Association. Surrey C. & G., 249; Battersea Park, 62 and 76.
v. St. John's, Leatherhead. Surrey C. & G., 302* for 7 wickets; St. John's College, 89.
v. Honor Oak. Surrey C. & G., 262* for 8 wickets; Honor Oak, 104 and 58 for 3 wickets.
v. Horsell and Woking. Surrey C. & G., 183* for 3 wickets; Horsell and Woking, 35 and 20.
v. Surbiton. Surrey C. & G., 277 for 7 wickets; Surbiton, 46.
v. Richmond. Surrey C. & G., 224; Richmond, 134 and 38 for 4 wickets.
v. Kenley. Surrey C. & G., 305* for 8 wickets; Kenley, 92 and 37 for 3 wickets.
v. Thames Ditton. Surrey C. & G., 215; Thames Ditton, 41 and 77 for no wicket.
v. Addlestone. Surrey C. & G., 145 and 65 for 6 wickets; Addlestone, 95.
v. London and Westminster Bank. Surrey C. & G., 223; Bank, 35 and 115 for 3 wickets.

MATCHES DRAWN.

v. Guy's Hospital. Surrey C. & G., 591* for 9 wickets; Guy's Hospital, 135 for 3 wickets.
v. Epsom College. Surrey C. & G., 266* for 6 wickets; Epsom College, 119 for 6 wickets.
v. Crystal Palace. Surrey C. & G., 415* for 8 wickets; Crystal Palace, 106 for 8 wickets.
v. The Wanderers. Surrey C. & G., 438* for 5 wickets; Wanderers, 187 for 2 wickets.
v. Mitcham. Surrey C. & G., 232; Mitcham, 46 for 6 wickets.
v. Nutfield. Surrey C. & G., 233 for 3 wickets.
v. Brixton Wanderers. Brixton Wanderers, 168 for 8 wickets.
v. XVIII. of Guildford District. Surrey C. & G., 163; Guildford, 151 for 15 wickets.
v. Gentlemen of Ireland. Surrey C. & G., 101 and 152 for 7 wickets; Ireland, 108 and 167.

MATCHES LOST.

v. XVIII. of Cobham. Surrey C. & G., 102 and 27 for 1 wicket; Cobham, 140.
v. Streatham. Surrey C. & G., 46; Streatham, 61 and 124 for 6 wickets.
v. East Molesey. Surrey C. & G., 91; East Molesey, 109 and 62.
v. Oxted District. Surrey C. & G., 45 and 14 for 1 wicket; Oxted, 50.
v. Banstead. Surrey C. & G., 115; Banstead, 131.
v. XX. of Ripley. Surrey C. & G., 80; Ripley, 86 and 41 for 4 wickets.
v. Beddington. Surrey C. & G., 143; Beddington, 156 for 9 wickets.
v. XV. of Dorking and District. Surrey C. & G., 89; Dorking, 314.

* Innings declared closed.

SURREY 2ND XI.

Matches played, 11; won, 7; drawn, 4; lost, 0.

RESULTS OF MATCHES.

MATCHES WON.

v. Bedfordshire. Surrey, 206 and 94 for 5 wickets; Bedfordshire, 168 and 131.
v. Lancashire 2nd XI. Surrey, 379; Lancashire 2nd XI., 194 and 89.
v. Yorkshire 2nd XI. Surrey, 345; Yorkshire 2nd XI., 79 and 108.
v. Hertfordshire. Surrey, 468; Hertfordshire, 61 and 276.
v. Worcestershire. Surrey, 180; Worcestershire, 79 and 95.
v. Bedfordshire. Surrey, 200 and 236* for 8 wickets; Bedfordshire, 201 and 71.
v. Kent 2nd XI. Surrey, 321 and 45 for no wicket; Kent 2nd XI., 107 and 258.

MATCHES DRAWN.

v. Yorkshire 2nd XI. Surrey, 166 and 44 for 3 wickets; Yorkshire 2nd XI., 83 and 231.
v. Kent 2nd XI. Surrey, 137; Kent 2nd XI., 49 and 155.
v. Lancashire 2nd XI. Surrey, 300 and 135 for 7 wickets; Lancashire 2nd XI., 130 and 366* for 6 wickets.
v. Worcestershire. Surrey, 243 and 49 for 3 wickets; Worcestershire, 173 and 241* for 5 wickets.

* Innings declared closed.

BATTING AVERAGES.

	Inns.	Times not out.	Runs.	Most in an Inns.	Aver.
Baldwin	5	1	174	93	43·5
Street	10	0	426	181	42·6
Watts	10	1	310	82	34·4
Corden	7	2	156	69*	31·2
Smith (F.)	7	3	126	51	31·5
W. T. Graburn	10	0	303	95	30·3
Ayres	11	1	285	80	28·5
Sharpe	7	2	139	48	27·8
Thompson	13	0	343	77	26·38
Holland	12	0	315	116	26·25
A. S. Bull	9	1	173	34	21·62
Mills	12	6	125	53*	20·83
D. L. A. Jephson	5	0	76	47	15.2
S. J. Bowles	6	1	47	23	9·4
T. S. T. Tregellas	7	0	29	14	4·14

The following batted in 3 innings only:—Hayward, 33—3*—60; S. Colman, 8—49*—11; R. B. Brooks, 0—0—6. The following batted in 2 innings only:—R. H. de Montmorency, 27—22; Henderson, 13—6; E. A. Bush, 15—3; Braund, 6—4; Marshall, 0—9; J. H. Bettington, 4—0. The following batted in 1 innings only:—Wood, 13; W. H. Andrews, 9; Nice, 1*; Bailey, 0; Keene, 0.

* Signifies not out.

BOWLING AVERAGES.

	Overs.	Mdns.	Runs.	Wkts.	Aver.
Smith (F.)	213·3	83	399	37	10·78
Street	134·2	47	281	26	10·80
Sharpe	194	64	442	39	11·3
Mills	288·4	95	597	35	17·05
S. J. Bowles	151·2	40	433	23	18·82
Thompson	73	20	183	3	61
T. S. T. Tregellas	31	10	71	1	71
Holland	41	13	110	1	110

The following bowled in 3 innings only:—D. L. A. Jephson, 10 for 86; J. H. Bettington, 9—93; Ayres, 2—42; Corden, 2—62. The following bowled in 2 innings only:—Hayward, 8—69; Keene, 2—45; Nice, 1—32; Bailey, 1—57; R. H. de Montmorency, 1—61; Henderson, 0—18; Watts, 0—29. The following bowled in 1 innings only:—A. S. Bull, 0—3; Braund, 0—3.

WORCESTERSHIRE 1893 CAPTAIN: MATCHES: ~~14~~ 15

TEAMS

No	DAY	DATE	MONTH	VERSUS	VENUE	BWJ	WH	I	MA	DH
	M,T	19,20	JUNE	STAFFS	STOKE	FSB FA	FSB FA			
	F,S	23,24		WARWICK	BIRMINGHAM	FSB FA	FSB A			
	F,S	7,8	JULY	LANCS II	MANCHESTER	FSB VFA	FSB A			
	M,T	10,11		STAFFS	WORCESTER	FSB FA	FSB VFA			
	T,F	20,21		HEREFORD	HEREFORD	FSB FA	FSB FA			
	M,T	31,1	AUG	WARWICK	KIDDERMINSTER	FSB FA	FSB VFA			
	W,T	2,3		MCC	WORCESTER	FSB VFA	FSB VFA			
	F,S	4,5		SURREY II	WORCESTER	FSB VFA	FSB A			
	M,T	7,8		HEREFORD	MALVERN	FSB FA	FSB FA		FS	
	F,S	11,12		LANCS II	STOURBRIDGE	FSB FA	FSB VFA			
	M,T	14,15		DEVON	DUDLEY	FSB FA	FSB A			FS
	F,S	18,19		MCC	LORD'S	FSB VFA	FSB VFA			
	M,T	21,22		SURREY II	THE OVAL	FSB VFA	FSB FA			
	W,T	23,24		DEVON	EXETER	FSB FA	FSB FA			
	M,T	24,25	JULY	U.ROVERS	WORCESTER	FSB VFA	FSB VFA			
					PREVIEW					
					AVERAGES	23 SEPT	23 SEPT			
					REVIEW	23 SEPT	23 SEPT			
					AGM					

28.4.04 BERROW'S WORCESTER JOURNAL - MF - 1 REEL
28.4.04 WORCESTER HERALD - MF - 1 REEL
28.4.04 WORCESTERSHIRE CHRONICLE - CONSERVATION
28.4.04 WORCESTERSHIRE ECHO - UNFIT TO COPY
28.4.04 KIDDERMINSTER TIMES - CONSERVATION
28.4.04 KIDDERMINSTER SHUTTLE - CONSERVATION
28.4.04 KIDDERMINSTER SUN - CONSERVATION
28.4.04 MALVERN ADVERTISER - MF
28.4.04 STOURBRIDGE COUNTY EXPRESS - CONSERVATION
28.4.04 DUDLEY HERALD - MF
WORCESTER DAILY TIMES

Toddington CC Ann - BWJ 30 Sept, p 5.
Chadkirk, Corbett CC 23 Sept, p 8
R. Bowden, Rev HF Bennett - High Green, Croome
St Johns - HV Johnson - Ann WH 7 Oct p 2 Kidderminster CC Ann Overbury CC Ann p 2.
High Green Ann BWJ 7 Oct p 3.

Worcs ~~Norfolk~~ Teams

BERROW'S WORCESTER JOURNAL: SATURDAY 23 SEPTEMBER 1893

WORCESTERSHIRE COUNTY CRICKET

In giving our customary annual table of statistics, it is necessary to point out a few of the main features, and although probably the majority will be somewhat familiar with them, many will, doubtless, appreciate a brief retrospect. In the first place, the Worcestershire Club, under a powerful and energetic executive, has risen with rapid strides, and will doubtless soon occupy a forward position among second-class counties to which it is entitled. It is now only a question of finances, for several good players are waiting to qualify; but of course all these matters are very expensive. Many will be glad to learn that the membership roll has largely increased, that, with the exception of Boughton, the gate receipts have fully realised expectations. For Worcestershire, as the result of 25 innings, 3,755 runs were scored, whilst 3 628 in 26 innings were made against the county. To the average of a fraction of over 150 its opponents came within two runs. This proves that, after playing so many good teams, there has been a manifest improvement. In losing one more match than the club won, allowance must be made that the team defeated by Staffordshire at Stoke was far below the average, but a striking circumstance occurs as to the splendid manner our representatives acquitted themselves at Manchester, Lords, and the Oval, more particularly at the latter place, when they were opposed by a Surrey contingent that included four who play for the first team. Their being so strongly opposed in the return against Lancashire, was owing to a fine performance at Old Trafford, when a draw in their favour was the result. When Briggs and Mold, however, took part in the match at Stourbridge a moist wicket made these two champions unplayable, and the smallest scores of the season were recorded. A defeat and a drawn game were the result against Warwickshire. A similar experience was the result of the M.C.C. matches. Herefordshire and Devonshire were beaten on both occasions, which were the only matches won; but of course, upon the appearance of the fixtures, these against the principal clubs could not be expected to be won in what may be termed a first season, but Worcestershire will have to be reckoned with in the future in these also. Only three times wet weather interfered with the play, and then only of a slight character, but the excessive spell of hot and dry weather resulted in the wickets generally being very indifferent in most places. Messrs. P. H. Latham, W. Greenstock, and E. P. Jobson are the only batsmen that scored three figure totals, and consequently, in the order named, take precedence in the batting averages, with Messrs. C. Toppin and W. L. Foster close up. Those from whom better things were expected, viz., Millward, E. G. M. Carmichael, and H. K. Foster utterly failed. The professional, however, made amends in the bowling, in which important sphere he takes second place with a slight fraction over Alex. Smith, who has bowled remarkably well. Willoughby, Rollings, and Raynor, too, came out creditably, and both in batting and bowling the figures are highly satisfactory, but there has been an undoubted weakness at times in the fielding, which must be improved. This has shown itself very clearly in the chief matches to disadvantage. The Club and Ground matches, under the control of Mr. J. J. Thomasson, have been the medium, far exceeding expectations, of popularising cricket amongst local clubs, the indefatigable hon. secretary has done his work in excellent style, and has met with a full share of success as the result of the matches under his control. The appended tables will suffice to deal with the play, as full reports have been given from time to time. As these matches are an innovation, it is satisfactory to record that they have achieved the object for which they were instituted, viz., to give all members desirous of playing an opportunity of assisting to stimulate local clubs, and bring forward any latent talent that otherwise might have remained unnoticed. Mr. P. H. Foley, with his multitudinous duties as hon. secretary, has worked very hard; besides, he has proved an excellent captain. With both amateurs and professionals he is as popular as ever, and the responsibilities of office could not rest in better hands.

County matches.—Number played 14; won 4, lost 5, drawn 5

Date and Place.		First Innings.	Second Innings.
June 19 and 2 Stoke-on-Trent	Worcestershire	135	120
	Staffordshire	181	82 *a*
a Eight wickets down. Lost by two wickets.			
June 23 and 24 Birmingham	Worcestershire	172	82 *a*
	Warwickshire	114	263
a Six wickets down. Drawn.			
July 7 and 8 Manchester	Worcestershire	179	287
	Lancashire	292	99 *a*
a Four wickets down. Drawn.			
July 10 and 11 Worcester	Worcestershire	99	—
	Staffordshire	133	130 *a*
a Nine wickets down. Drawn.			
July 20 and 21 Hereford	Worcestershire	182	63 *a*
	Herefordshire	89	155
a Seven wickets down. Won by three wickets.			
July 31, Aug. 1 Kidderminster	Worcestershire	71	102
	Warwickshire	121	53 *a*
a Two wickets down. Lost by eight wickets.			
August 2 and 3 Worcester	Worcestershire	100	107 *a*
	M.C.C. and Ground	173	120
a Seven wickets down. Drawn.			
Aug. 4 and 5 Worcester	Worcestershire	79	95
	Surrey 2nd XI.	180	
Lost by an innings and 6 runs.			
Aug. 7 and 8 Malvern	Worcestershire	357	
	Herefordshire	99	85
Won by an innings and 173 runs.			
Aug. 11 and 12 Stourbridge	Worcestershire	80	49
	Lancashire 2nd XI.	250	
Lost by an innings and 121 runs.			
Aug. 14 and 15 Dudley	Worcestershire	293	
	Devonshire	45	194
Won by an innings and 54 runs.			
Aug. 18 and 19 Lords	Worcestershire	200	74
	M.C.C. and Ground	118	220
Lost by 64 runs.			
Aug. 21 and 22 Oval	Worcestershire	173	241 *a*
	Surrey 2nd Innings	243	49 *b*
Drawn. *a* Innings closed, five wickets down. *b* Three wickets down.			
Aug. 23 and 24 Exeter	Worcestershire	151	256
	Devonshire	106	134
Won by 168 runs.			

BATTING AVERAGES—COUNTY MATCHES.

Name of Batsman.	No. of innings.	Times not out.	Most in an innings.	Total runs.	Averages.
P. H. Latham	6	0	106	174	29
W. Greenstock	10	2	139*a*	215	26·7
E. P. Jobson	19	0	102	483	25·6
C. Toppin	7	1	85	136	22·4
W. L. Foster	16	0	96	335	20·5
Smith (A.)	19	2	55*a*	315	18·9
Raynor	23	1	67	391	17·17
Burrows	9	1	35*a*	111	15·7
H. K. Foster	23	0	48	286	12·10
E. G. M. Carmichael	13	1	41	127	10·7
Millward	19	2	29*a*	176	10·6
E. G. Bromley Martin	6	0	46	57	9·3
Powell	6	0	16	53	8·5
Rollings	15	3	21	87	7·3
P. H. Foley	7	2	14*a*	30	6
Edwards	10	2	11	40	5
Willoughby	14	6	10*a*	28	3·4

The following also batted:—A. Prince 19, 24, 0, 4; Hon. H. Coventry 0, 1, 2, 34*a*; F. W. Romney 1, 0, 17, 3; P. R. Farrant 23, 0, 6; Lones 5, 6, 1, 2; Hon. A. Lyttelton 14, 10; T. B. Rhodes 13, 4; Firkins 14, 10; F. Wheldon 15, 4; Rolleston 13, 5; A. W. Isaac 0, 0*a*, "Jones" 3, 10*a*; Rev. H. R. Browne 69, Rev. H. F. Bennett 0, Hon. R. Ward 0, E. Poole 5, Edwards (2) 0.

a signifies not out.

COUNTY BOWLING AVERAGES.

	o.	m.	r.	w.	Av'ge.
Smith (A.)	258·3	83	451	45	10·11
Millward	235·3	79	453	43	10·23
Willoughby	188·2	66	390	30	13
Rollings	197·2	52	515	35	14·25
Raynor	337	85	820	47	17·21

The following also bowled:—Burrows 18, 3, 53, 1, Rolleston 12, 5, 23, 1; C. Toppin 12, 1, 22, 0; Firkins 3, 0, 10, 1; Rev. H. F. Bennett 5, 2, 17, 0; Lones 5, 0, 18, 0; Powell 3, 1, 6, 0; H. K. Foster 4, 0, 13, 0; F. Wheldon 3, 0, 20, 0; Millward and Raynor each bowled two no balls.

CLUB AND GROUND.

Matches played.	Won.	Lost.	Drawn.
28	14	11	3

BATTING AVERAGES—CLUB AND GROUND.

	Number of innings.	Times not out.	Most in an innings.	Total runs.	Average.
Rev. H. F. Bennett	7	2	59	147	29·2
Rev. F. A. Bickmore	8	4	47*a*	117	29·1
Rollings	7	3	34	110	27·2
E. Perkins	10	0	70	249	24·9
Millward	11	1	66	221	22·1
A. W. Isaac	11	1	65	215	21·5
E. Poole	12	0	54	230	19·2
J. E. Perkins	8	1	63*a*	128	18·2
R. G. Munn	9	0	53	155	17·2
Smith (D.)	23	1	76*a*	314	15·14
Firkins	12	2	35	159	15·9
Raynor	9	0	59	140	15·5
H. V. Plum	7	1	68	87	14·3
E. G. Bromley Martin	5	0	31	68	13·3
Burrows	13	1	50	157	12·1
E. G. M. Carmichael	13	0	55	121	9·4
E. Wheeler	6	1	21	36	7·1
Rolleston	8	2	13	41	6·5
W. Souch	6	1	13	32	6·2
Rev. A. J. Skinner	5	0	13	24	4·4
H. W. Brierley	6	0	8	21	3·3

The following also batted:—P. H. Foley, 18, 7, 4, 5; A. P. Caldwell, 1, 11, 14*a*, 8; G. E. Bearcroft, 12, 3, 10, 7; G. L. Talbot, 17, 0*a*, 0*a*, 0; H. Smith, 0, 0, 4*a*, 1; Captain How, 27, 0, 7, 8; R. Dorrell, 28, 14, 9, 5; Edwards, 12, 5, 4; Fereday, 1, 35*a*, 25; G. F. Abell, 7, 3, 5*a*; W. A. Young, 25, 6, 3; Smith (A.), 35, 3, 9; R. E. Lyon, 2, 7, 0; W. H. Eaton, 15, 2, 1; E. E. Styche, 0, 5*a*, 0; J. B. Hill, 21, 0; R. Ball, 22*a*, 10; P. B. Wright, 0, 2; J. M. Harlow, 5, 0; Rev. M. B. Buckle, 16, 6; Rev. R. Arden Davis, 17, 18; Dr. Polson, 21*a*, 16; Hon. F. E. Allsopp, 43, 51; H. C. Isaac, 5, 21*a*; F. Gage, 5, 11; Hon. R. Ward, 19, 27; Smith (W.), 4, 16*a*, 34*a*; H. S. Mansell, 1, 13, 0; H. Laggett, 6*a*, 10*a*; E. A. Macintosh, 0, 15; B. Ashbury, 0*a*, 6*a*; Darby, 0, 8; G. Drewer, 12; J. Churchman, 3; J. Hillier, 3*a*; J. Smith, 0; T. Powell, 1; W. Macauly, 0; P. F. Warner, 3; Rev. J. Webster 2; L. E. Radcliffe, 5; D. Scott, 13*a*; A. Prince, 10; T. H. Simmons, 0*a*; E. A. Turley, 0; C. P. Ellis, 0; H. W. Buck, 8*a*; A. Bannister, 4; H. Sanders, 4*a*; Capt. Fetherstonaugh, 1; H. Stokes, 13; Dr. Corder, 4*a*; W. R. Pike, 1; T. E. Rammell, 6; Sergt. Norman, 2; F. Helford, 0; J. J. Sweetman, 0*a*; Lowes, 5; F. Lawrence, 0; W. K. Harrison, 1; A. Dorman, 0; Rev. H. R. Browne, 12; J. Lightwood, 0; A. Rice, 5*a*; A. Hartley, 0; W. Hartley, 0; P. Wheldon, 41; Rev. E. Oldham, 4.

(*a*) signifies not out.

BOWLING AVERAGES—CLUB AND GROUND.

	o.	m.	r.	w.	aver.
Raynor	127·1	29	310	47	6·28
Rolleston	173·2	67	294	35	8·14
E. G. M. Carmichael	52	19	101	9	11·2
Burrows	125·2	31	315	26	12·3
Rollings	101·2	21	300	25	12·8
Millward	130·3	35	301	24	12·16
Rev. H. F. Bennett	37	15	72	5	14·2
E. Perkins	75·4	13	176	17	17·6
Firkins	58	16	159	9	17·6
Smith (D.)	134·4	31	335	19	17·12

The following also bowled: A. W. Isaac, 9, 1, 37, 0; R. G. Munn, 12, 2, 47, 1; J. Smith, 6, 0, 17, 1; G. Drewer, 12, 4, 27, 0; J. E. Perkins, 3, 0, 10, 2; P. F. Warner, 13, 3, 37, 5; F. E. Allsopp, 20, 0, 64, 0; Hon. R. Ward, 4, 0, 14, 0; Rev. M. B. Buckle, 12, 2, 46, 2; Sergeant Norman, 15, 4, 33, 0; J. Lightwood, 3, 0, 14, 0; F. Helford, 19, 7, 23, 9; G. E. Styche, 22, 7, 65, 4; A. Rice, 2, 0, 9, 0; Rev. Arden Davis, 8, 0, 30, 0; Smith (A.), 56; 15, 117, 3; E. C. Bromley-Martin, 17, 4, 45, 3; W. A. Young, 10, 2, 16, 1; H. Stokes, 11, 2, 52, 2; H. Smith, 14, 7, 24, 3; W. Souch, 4, 0, 13, 0; E. Wheeler, 15, 3, 52, 2; E. Dorrell, 5, 1, 12, 9; H. A. Laggett, 21, 6, 51, 7; E. Poole, 2, 0, 19, 0; Darby, 35, 7, 83, 11; C. P. Ellis, 5, 2, 14, 2; Smith (W.), 20·4, 2, 60, 5; Fereday, 2, 0, 15, 0; Hartley, 0, 3, 14, 4; J. B. Hill, 4, 2, 5, 1; G. E. Bearcroft, 30, 7, 64, 2; W. K. Harrison, 4, 0, 14, 0; Rev. A. J. Skinner, 7, 0, 26, 0; P. H. Foley, 5·3, 0, 14, 3; Bowley, 13, 3, 35, 0; H. T. Mansell, 5, 0, 27, 0.

BATTING AVERAGES,

COLTS AND SECOND TEAM MATCHES.

Batsmen.	No. of Innings.	Most in an Innings.	Times Not Out.	Total Runs.	Average.
J. Wilson	2	49	2	55	55
Mounsey	2	83	..	86	43
P. N. Salmond	2	46	..	64	32
Whitehead (Lees)	6	70	1	124	24.4
W. Nicholson	4	34	1	71	23.2
Charlesworth	2	41	..	41	20.1
F. E. Woodhead	4	37	..	66	16.2
Kilburn	3	37	..	50	16.2
Lancaster	2	26	..	33	16.1
H. Walton	3	21	..	48	16
Jackson	2	29	..	29	14.1
Squires	3	21	1	28	14
Waller	9	30	1	105	13.1
White	5	29	1	52	13
Thewlis	3	23	..	38	12.2
Siddall	2	10	1	12	12
Booth (R. H.)	3	15	..	35	11.2
E. W. Hill	2	20	..	23	11.1
E. Smith	2	20	..	23	11.1
Steavenson	3	13	1	22	11
Glover	4	20	..	41	10.1
Denton (D.)	2	17	..	21	10.1
Wood	2	19	..	20	10
Bedford	5	29	..	40	8
W. L. Frank	2	16	..	16	8
E. Southall	2	12	..	14	7
Fletcher	3	11	..	20	6.2
Earnshaw	2	4	2	6	6
Lee (F.)	2	12	..	12	6
Crabtree	3	12	..	13	4.1
Denton (Joe)	2	9	..	9	4.1
Cartman	2	4	..	7	3.1
Overend	2	4	..	7	3.1
J. W. Smeeth	2	6	..	6	3
Foster	10	11	1	26	2.8
Reed	5	10	..	14	2.4
Whitehead (Luther)	2	2	..	2	1

BATTING AVERAGES—*Continued.*

THE FOLLOWING ALSO BATTED:—

Batsmen.	No. of Innings.	Most in an Innings.	Times Not Out.	Total Runs.	Average.
Castle	1	30	1	30	30
Fisher	1	30	..	30	30
Booth (H.)	1	21	..	21	21
Schofield	1	15	..	15	15
Stones	1	15	..	15	15
Wilkinson	1	12	..	12	12
Priestley	1	9	..	9	9
Goodinson	1	8	..	8	8
Firth	1	7	..	7	7
Ineson (P.)	1	6	..	6	6
Ineson (W.)	1	5	..	5	5
Brodie	1	5	..	5	5
Butterfield	1	5	..	5	5
Miller	1	5	..	5	5
Pilkington	1	4	..	4	4
F. W. Harker	1	4	..	4	4
Hanson	1	4	..	4	4
Holroyd	1	3	..	3	3
Swithenbank	1	2	..	2	2
Mitchell	1	2	..	2	2
Walshaw	1	2	..	2	2
Ingham	1	1	1	1	1
Hallas	1	1	..	1	1
Jameson	1	1	..	1	1
Yeadon	1	..	..	..	..
G. Harrop	1	..	..	..	..
W. Smith	1	..	..	..	..
Myers	1	..	..	..	..
Marvel	1	..	..	..	..
Bolton	1	..	..	..	..
Hardy	1	..	..	..	..
Jessop	1	..	..	..	..
Soulsby (P.)	1	..	..	..	..
Soulsby (F.)	1	..	..	..	..
Hargreaves	1	..	..	..	..
Driver	1	..	..	..	..

YYB TEAMS FILE - YORKS

BOWLING AVERAGES,

COLTS' AND SECOND TEAM MATCHES.

Bowlers.	Innings.	Overs.	Maidens.	Runs.	Wickets.	Average.
J. W. Smeeth	2	7	3	17	3	5.2
Cartman	1	4	..	9	1	9
Mounsey	1	39	14	76	8	9.4
Foster	7	97.3	31	210	21	10
Squires	2	43	13	81	8	10.1
Whitehead (Lees)	5	70.3	21	160	13	12.4
Charlesworth	1	5	1	14	1	14
Reed	3	34	2	51	3	17
Waller	6	60.2	20	120	5	24
Fletcher	3	38	18	48	2	24
Ineson (P.)	2	36	11	77	3	25.2
White	2	14	5	30	1	30
Bedford	3	38	14	91	3	30.1
F. W. Harker	2	15	5	34	1	34
J. Wilson	1	7	..	34	1	34
Lancaster	2	36	14	54	1	54
THE FOLLOWING ALSO BOWLED:—						
E. Southall	1	4	..	10	..	..
Siddall	1	10	3	16	..	..
H. Walton	1	13	4	27	..	..
W. L. Frank	1	8	1	36	..	..

The two Colts' Matches, Louis Hall's Team *versus* George Ulyett's Team, are not reckoned in these Averages, as the majority of the bowlers occur only once, and reference to the matches will give the average.

RETROSPECT.

The Committee, to meet the desires of a number of the County subscribers, give the following epitome of County Matches played, together with the results.

The first County Match played by Yorkshire, appears to have been in 1833, against Norfolk, and from that year up to 1863, matches were played on several Grounds, but of such contests, unfortunately, no complete scores have been preserved.

In 1863, after an abortive attempt to induce the other large towns to join in a guarantee fund to support County Cricket, the Sheffield subscribers formed the Yorkshire County Cricket Club, appointing a Committee of Management of thirteen gentlemen. M. J. Ellison, Esq., was elected President, and Mr. George Padley Secretary. Since that date, full scores of all matches played under the management of the Club have been accurately kept; still, for eight years afterwards, and independently of the Sheffield Committee, County Matches were arranged and played at Bradford, Leeds, Dewsbury, and other places. On December 13th, 1864, Mr. J. B. Wostinholm was appointed Secretary, in place of Mr. Padley, resigned.

In 1865, in consequence of a disagreement between the Northern Players and the Players of Surrey, the five leading Yorkshire professionals refused to take part in the home and home matches which had been arranged with Surrey, and the Committee therefore, left those players out of the other engagements of the season, with the result that Yorkshire lost every match this year.

1866.—The Committee, still suffering from the defection of the County's best players, made no match this year. In those played the Committee had no part.

In 1867 seven matches were played, and each one resulted in a victory. This season Yorkshire was champion County. Lancashire was played for the first time this year.

1872 saw the institution of Colts' Matches. Many of the most talented players of the County have been brought to the front by these games. Gloucester was included in the Yorkshire County Fixtures for the first time.

Reference to pages 6 and 60, will give details of the County's doings.

7/8

YORKSHIRE 2ND XI / COLTS 1893 — MATCHES 5 — CAPTAIN:

TEAMS	No	DAY	DATE	MONTH	VERSUS	VENUE	NOTES	YYB
	F		2,3	MAY	NOTTS COLTS	SHEFFIELD		FSB
	F		12,13	JUNE	SURREY II	THE OVAL		FSB
	F		29,30		SURREY II	LEEDS		FSB
	F		14,15	JULY	LANCS II	BRADFORD		FSB
	F		28,29		LANCS II	MANCHESTER		FSB

NOTE – 3 YORKS COLTS MATCHES PLAYED WHICH GIVE TEAMS OF PARTICIPANTS – SEE 1893 MATCH SCORES FILE

YORKSHIRE 2nd v. LANCASHIRE 2nd.

Played at Manchester, July 28th and 29th, 1893.

Yorkshire won by seven wickets.

LANCASHIRE.

First Innings.		Second Innings.	
J. A. Maclaren, b Foster	0	b Harker	40
E. Rowley, b Foster	11	b Whitehead	36
J. A. Darbyshire, run out	32	b Ineson	1
R. Smith, c Harker, b Wilson	55	b Ineson	1
C. H. Benton, c and b Whitehead	26	b Ineson	0
W. H. Houldsworth, b Whitehead	29	lbw, b Waller	0
Heaton, b Whitehead	20	run out	36
Ellis, c Ineson, b Charlesworth	4	b Whitehead	5
J. Lord, b Whitehead	2	not out	0
W. H. Pennington, not out	12	b Whitehead	9
Lord, c Ineson, b Whitehead	0	run out	1
Extras	1	Extras	4
Total	192	Total	133

YORKSHIRE.

First Innings.		Second Innings.	
Glover, c Smith, b Lord	20	c Darbyshire, b Smith	12
Charlesworth, b Ellis	0	c Lord, b Pennington	41
W. Nicholson, c and b Heaton	34	not out	34
Whitehead, b Smith	70	c Ellis, b Lord	6
Ineson, b Ellis	6		
Waller, lbw, b Ellis	0		
J. Wilson, not out	49	not out	6
F. W. Harker, c Darbyshire, b Pennington	4		
Fletcher, c MacLaren, b Pennington	11		
Crabtree, b Pennington	12		
Foster, run out	1		
Extras	12	Extras	9
Total	219	Total	108

Bowling Analysis.

Lancashire.

First Innings.	O.	M.	R.	W.
Foster	20	9	41	2
Harker	6	3	12	0
Ineson	14	4	30	0
Waller	7	1	15	0
Fletcher	4	0	11	0
Wilson	7	0	34	1
Whitehead	16.3	5	34	5
Charlesworth	5	1	14	1

Second Innings.	O.	M.	R.	W.
Harker	9	2	22	1
Ineson	22	7	47	3
Waller	13	2	30	1
Whitehead	8	2	30	3

Yorkshire.

First Innings.	O.	M.	R.	W.
Pennington	30	15	41	3
Ellis	34	12	72	3
Lord	9	4	20	1
Smith	15	2	35	1
Heaton	4	0	17	1
Houldsworth	3	0	10	0
Rowley	3	0	12	0

Second Innings.	O.	M.	R.	W.
Pennington	20	12	25	1
Ellis	15	4	35	0
Lord	5.4	0	21	0
Smith	9	3	18	2

SUMMARY OF

COLTS and 2nd ELEVEN MATCHES. 1893

	Ulyett's Team. 1st.	Ulyett's Team. 2nd.	Hall's Team. 1st.	Hall's Team. 2nd.
April 18—At Dewsbury, G. Ulyett's Team *v.* L. Hall's Team	63	..	62	..
G. Ulyett's Team won by 1 run.				
April 20—At Barnsley, G. Ulyett's Team *v.* L. Hall's Team	179	..	115	..
G. Ulyett's Team won by 64 runs.				
	Yorks. Score. 1st.	**Yorks. Score. 2nd.**	**Opponents' Score. 1st.**	**Opponents' Score. 2nd.**
May 1, 2—At Sheffield, Yorks. Colts *v.* Notts. Colts	167	87	151	95
Yorkshire Colts won by 8 runs.				
June 12, 13—At The Oval, Yorks. 2nd *v.* Surrey 2nd	85	108	345	..
Surrey 2nd won by an innings and 112 runs.				
June 29, 30—At Leeds, Yorks. 2nd *v.* Surrey 2nd	83	231	166	44†
Drawn. † 3 wickets.				
July 14, 15—At Bradford, Yorks. 2nd *v.* Lancashire 2nd	58	34	94	70
Lancashire 2nd won by 70 runs.				
July 28, 29—At Manchester, Yorks. 2nd *v.* Lancashire 2nd	219	108†	192	133
Yorkshire 2nd won by 7 wickets. † 3 wickets.				

YKB